(*b*)	Brahmins	97
	Vokkaligars	18
	Darji	1
	Rajputs	6
	Christians	2
	Jains	3
	Vaisyas	1
	Lingayets	7
	Adikarnatakas	4
	Satani	1
	Devar	1
	Naidu	1

(*c*) Government do not think it necessary to give the names of individuals who were appointed.

	Devar	1
	Vokkaligar	1
	Brahmins	9

Yes.

(*d*) No.

N.B.—"Of the total number of appointments (*viz.*, 142) only 57 related to long term vacancies. The number of persons belonging to the Brahmin and Backward communities appointed in the 57 vacancies was 44 and 13 respectively."

By Mr. Gurkar Nanjappa (Gundlupet Taluk).—

Q.—26. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) Have the lands on which chillies, brinjal and such other vegetable crops are raised mainly with the help of rain water been classed as wet during re-settlement?

(2) If so, what is the total extent of such lands?

(3) What is the difference between the dry and garden rate on these lands?

(4) What is the income from this extent to the State every year? (District and talukwar figures to be furnished.)

(5) According to the promise made by the Dewan during the last Dasara Session that the difference between the dry and garden assessment will be remitted on the receipt of applications from the concerned raiyats, have the raiyats of any part prayed for remission? and has relief been granted to them?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

A.—26. (1) Such lands are classed as dry and not as wet during re-settlement.

(2) Statistics of such lands are not available and collection of this information would involve great labour and cost, more especially when they are required villagewar, and Government do not consider it necessary to call for the particulars.

(3) The maximum rates vary with different localities and no general statement can be made as to the difference.

(4) *Vide* reply to (2).

(5) No such promise was made. It was only stated that if concrete instances where the rules of assessment of garden lands had been misapplied were brought to notice, they would be set right. No instances have been brought to notice so far.

BY MR. A. NARAYANA IYENGAR (Hassan Taluk).—

Q.—27. (1) Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The sums spent in each of the following years out of the allotted amount in the Budget towards the repairs of Muzrai Institutions in the State, *viz.*, 1921-22, 1922-23, 1923-24, 1924-25 and 1925-26.

(*b*) The amount that lapsed in each of the said years.

(*c*) The reasons why the amounts lapsed.

(*d*) The number of temples which stand in urgent need of repairs.

(2) Will the Government be pleased to order that these buildings be repaired and the whole amount spent?

FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—27. 1. (*a*)

Year	State Grant	Expenditure	Amount which lapsed
		Rs. a. p.	Rs a. p.
1921–22 ..	10,000	9,891 0 0	109 0 0
1922–23 ..	5,000	5,000 0 0	..
1923–24 ..	5,000	4,500 0 0	500 0 0
1924–25 ..	10,000	10,000 0 0	..
1925–26 ..	10,000	9,768 2 2	231 13 10

(*b*) *Vide* answer to (*a*).

(*c*) Lapse was due either to estimates not being ready in time or to the need for their revision.